

Neurofibromatosis Panels: *NF1*, *SPRED1*, *NF2*, *LZTR1*, and *SMARCB1* Gene Analysis

CLINICAL FEATURES

Neurofibromatosis Type 1 (NF1) is characterized by multiple café au lait macules, axillary or inguinal freckling, multiple cutaneous neurofibromas, and iris Lisch nodules (iris hamartomas). Other manifestations include plexiform neurofibromas, optic gliomas and other central nervous system gliomas, malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumors (MPNST), vasculopathy, hypertension, and skeletal complications such as scoliosis, tibial and vertebral dysplasia, pseudoarthritis, osteopenia and osteoporosis.¹⁻³ Additionally, learning disabilities and behavioral problems of variable severity are present in at least 50% of individuals with NF1 syndrome.⁴ Clinical features associated with NF1 syndrome are highly variable, even within the same family.¹ Individuals with NF1 syndrome are often diagnosed clinically in childhood; however as it is a progressive disorder, additional clinical features can present later in life.^{1,5}

A diagnosis of NF1 syndrome can be made clinically by meeting two or more of the following features:^{6,7}

- Six or more café au lait macules over 5 mm in greatest diameter in pre-pubertal individuals and over 15 mm in greatest diameter in post-pubertal individuals
- Two or more neurofibromas of any type or one plexiform neurofibroma
- Freckling in the axillary or inguinal region
- Optic glioma
- Two or more Lisch nodules (iris hamartomas)
- A distinctive osseous lesion such as sphenoid dysplasia or thinning of long bone cortex with or without pseudoarthrosis
- A first-degree relative (parent, sibling, or offspring) with NF1 by the above criteria

Legius syndrome (Neurofibromatosis Type 1-like syndrome) is characterized by multiple café au lait macules, with or without intertriginous freckling, in the absence of neurofibromas or other tumor manifestations seen in NF1 syndrome. Additional reported features of Legius syndrome include macrocephaly, lipomas, learning disabilities, ADHD, developmental delays, and facial features similar to Noonan syndrome.⁸⁻¹¹ As the diagnosis of Legius syndrome is difficult to make clinically, molecular testing is necessary to confirm the diagnosis.¹²

Neurofibromatosis Type 2 (NF2) is characterized by bilateral vestibular schwannomas with associated symptoms of tinnitus, hearing loss, and balance dysfunction. Vestibular schwannomas develop in over 90% of individuals with NF2 syndrome, and schwannomas of other cranial nerves are reported in 24-51% of individuals with NF2 syndrome. Additionally, spinal tumors and meningiomas develop in approximately 60-90% and 50-80% of patients with NF2 syndrome, respectively.¹³⁻¹⁷ Skin tumors (including skin plaques, subcutaneous tumors and intradermal tumors) and ocular manifestations (including cataracts, epiretinal membranes and retinal hamartomas) are present in the majority of individuals with NF2 syndrome.^{14,16,18} Mononeuropathy of childhood and progressive polyneuropathy of adulthood are also recognized features of the condition.^{13,14,18} The average age of onset for NF2 is in the second decade (18-24 yrs of age); however many individuals present with symptoms in childhood.^{16,19}

A diagnosis of NF2 syndrome can be made clinically by meeting one of the following criteria:^{6,20}

- Bilateral vestibular schwannomas (masses of the 8th cranial nerve seen with appropriate imaging techniques (e.g., CT or MRI) OR
- A first-degree relative with NF2 AND either:
 - Unilateral vestibular schwannoma OR

- Any two of: neurofibroma, meningioma, glioma, schwannoma, or juvenile posterior subcapsular lenticular opacities/cortical cataract
- Unilateral vestibular schwannoma AND any two of: meningioma, schwannoma, glioma, neurofibroma, juvenile posterior subcapsular lenticular opacities/cortical cataract
- Multiple meningiomas AND either:
 - Unilateral vestibular schwannoma OR
 - Any two of: schwannoma, glioma, neurofibroma, juvenile posterior subcapsular lenticular opacities/cortical cataract

Schwannomatosis is characterized by multiple schwannomas and, less commonly, meningiomas, in the absence of bilateral vestibular schwannomas. Affected individuals may develop schwannomas in the spinal cord and along the peripheral and cranial nerves with the tumors manifesting with pain and/or neurological deficit.²¹ Nonvestibular cranial nerve schwannomas are observed in up to 10% of affected individuals and some individuals may develop other tumors, including meningiomas, rhabdoid tumors, and malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumors (MPNSTs).²²⁻²⁴ Some individuals with schwannomatosis and a negative family history are mosaic for a pathogenic variant in the NF2 gene. In contrast, a subgroup of patients in whom schwannomas are largely confined to the peripheral nerves do not have an underlying NF2 disorder but have schwannomatosis.²⁵ Schwannomas in schwannomatosis are pathologically indistinct from those that develop in individuals with NF2.²⁶ Most individuals with schwannomatosis present in adulthood, and approximately 10-20% of cases are familial.^{21,27,28} Segmental schwannomatosis has also been observed, where the schwannomas are limited to one limb or 5 or less contiguous spine segments.^{21,27,28}

There are proposed clinical diagnostic criteria in the literature for schwannomatosis for individuals in whom a diagnosis of NF2 syndrome has been excluded:²⁵

- Two or more non-intradermal schwannomas (at least one biopsy-confirmed) AND no evidence of bilateral vestibular schwannomas by high-quality MRI (Note: Presence of a unilateral vestibular schwannoma or meningioma(s) does not exclude the diagnosis.)
- One pathologically confirmed schwannoma, unilateral vestibular schwannoma, or intracranial meningioma AND an affected first-degree relative with confirmed schwannomatosis

GENETICS

Neurofibromatosis type 1 (NF1) and Neurofibromatosis type 2 (NF2) are autosomal dominant disorders with variable expressivity, nearly complete penetrance by adulthood, and possible segmental mosaicism.

Approximately 50% of NF1 pathogenic variants are *de novo*.²⁹ Approximately 50-60% of NF2 pathogenic variants are *de novo*, with one-third of *de novo* cases being mosaic.^{20,29-31}

Legius syndrome is an autosomal dominant disorder with possible reduced penetrance. *De novo* variants accounted for approximately 30% of all *SPRED1* pathogenic variants in a cohort of individuals with Legius syndrome.⁸

Schwannomatosis is an autosomal dominant disorder with incomplete penetrance and variable expressivity.^{27,32} The majority of cases of schwannomatosis are sporadic, and approximately 10% and 30% of simplex schwannomatosis cases are attributed to *de novo* pathogenic variants in *SMARCB1* and *LZTR1*, respectively.³²⁻³⁴ Of note, loss of function pathogenic variants in *SMARCB1* have also been associated with rhabdoid tumor predisposition syndrome type-1, while gain of function pathogenic variants have been observed in individuals with Coffin-Siris syndrome.²⁵ *LZTR1* pathogenic variants are also associated with both autosomal dominant and autosomal recessive forms of Noonan syndrome.³⁵

TEST METHODS

Genomic DNA is extracted from the submitted specimen. For skin punch biopsies, fibroblasts are cultured and used for DNA extraction. This DNA is enriched for the complete coding region and splice site junctions of the genes on this panel using a proprietary targeted capture system developed by GeneDx for next generation sequencing with CNV calling (NGS-CNV). The enriched targets are simultaneously sequenced with paired-end reads on an Illumina platform. Bi-directional sequence reads are assembled and aligned to reference sequences based on NCBI RefSeq transcripts and human genome build GRCh37/UCSC hg19. After gene specific filtering, data are analyzed to identify sequence variants and most deletions and duplications involving coding exons; however, technical limitations and inherent sequence properties effectively reduce this resolution for some genes. Alternative sequencing or copy number detection methods are used to analyze or confirm regions with inadequate sequence or copy number data by NGS. Reportable variants include pathogenic variants, likely pathogenic variants and variants of uncertain significance. Likely benign and benign variants, if present, are not routinely reported but are available upon request.

TEST SENSITIVITY

The clinical sensitivity of sequencing and deletion/duplication analysis of the 5 genes included in the Neurofibromatosis Panels depends in part on the patient's clinical phenotype and family history. In general, the sensitivity is highest for individuals with features suggestive of a neurofibromatosis syndrome as outlined above. **NF1 Syndrome:** Pathogenic variants in *NF1* are identified in greater than 90% of individuals meeting diagnostic criteria for NF1 syndrome, with 5-10% of identified variants being multi-exon rearrangements or whole gene deletions.³⁶⁻³⁸ **NF2 Syndrome:** Pathogenic variants in *NF2* are identified in over 90% of individuals meeting clinical diagnostic criteria for NF2 syndrome with a positive family history; the detection rate in simplex cases is lower (approximately 60%) due to somatic mosaicism.^{19,31} Large rearrangements account for 10-20% of identified variants in *NF2*.^{31,39-41} Rarely, affected individuals have a chromosome abnormality that disrupts the *NF2* gene.^{19,41} **Legius Syndrome:** Pathogenic variants in *SPRED1* have been reported in approximately 2% of individuals who meet NIH NF1 diagnostic criteria, and in 4-8% with an NF1-like phenotype who do not have a pathogenic variant in *NF1*.^{8,10,42,43} The sensitivity of *SPRED1* sequencing increases to 20% in patients without an affected parent, optic pathway tumor, Lisch nodules, neurofibromas, long bone dysplasia, or sphenoid wing dysplasia.⁴² Large deletions account for approximately 10% of all pathogenic variants identified in the *SPRED1* gene.⁹ **Schwannomatosis:** Approximately 85% of patients with familial schwannomatosis and 40% of patients with sporadic schwannomatosis will have a germline pathogenic variant in the *SMARCB1* or *LZTR1* gene.^{32,34} *SMARCB1* large rearrangements in association with schwannomatosis are rare, and gross deletions or duplications have not been reported in *LZTR1*-associated schwannomatosis to date.^{25,44}

Genetic testing using the methods applied at GeneDx is expected to be highly accurate. Normal findings do not rule out the diagnosis of a genetic disorder since some genetic abnormalities may be undetectable by this test. The methods used cannot reliably detect deletions of 20bp to 250bp in size, or insertions of 10bp to 250 bp in size. Sequencing cannot detect low-level mosaicism. The copy number assessment methods used with this test cannot reliably detect mosaicism and cannot identify balanced chromosome aberrations. Rarely, incidental findings of large chromosomal rearrangements outside the gene of interest may be identified. Regions of certain genes have inherent sequence properties (for example: repeat, homology, or pseudogene regions, high GC content, rare polymorphisms) that yield suboptimal data, potentially impairing accuracy of the results. False negatives may also occur in the setting of bone marrow transplantation, recent blood transfusion, or suboptimal DNA quality. In individuals with active or chronic hematologic neoplasms or conditions, there is a possibility that testing may detect an acquired somatic variant, resulting in a false positive result. As the ability to detect genetic variants and naming conventions can differ among laboratories, rare false negative results may occur when no positive control is provided for testing of a specific variant identified at another laboratory. The chance of a false positive or false negative result due to laboratory errors incurred during any phase of testing cannot be completely

excluded. Interpretations are made with the assumption that any clinical information provided, including family relationships, are accurate. Consultation with a genetics professional is recommended for interpretation of results.

Gene	Protein	Inheritance	Disease Associations
<i>NF1</i>	NEUROFIBROMIN	AD	Neurofibromatosis type 1 (NF1) syndrome
<i>NF2</i>	MERLIN	AD	Neurofibromatosis type 2 (NF2) syndrome
<i>LZTR1</i>	LEUCINE-ZIPPER-LIKE TRANSCRIPTIONAL REGULATOR 1	AD	Schwannomatosis, Noonan syndrome
		AR	Noonan Syndrome
<i>SMARCB1</i>	SWI/SNF-RELATED MATRIX-ASSOCIATED ACTIN-DEPENDENT REGULATOR OF CHROMATIN SUBFAMILY B MEMBER 1	AD	Schwannomatosis, rhabdoid tumor predisposition syndrome-1 (RTPS1), Coffin-Siris syndrome
<i>SPRED1</i>	SPROUTY-RELATED, EVH1 DOMAIN-CONTAINING PROTEIN 1	AD	Legius syndrome (Neurofibromatosis type 1- like syndrome)

Abbreviations:

AD – Autosomal dominant

AR – Autosomal recessive

MPNST - Malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumors

REFERENCES:

- Friedman, J. M. Neurofibromatosis 1: clinical manifestations and diagnostic criteria. *J. Child Neurol.* 17, 548– 554; discussion 571-572, 646–651 (2002).
- Eleftheriou, F. et al. Skeletal abnormalities in neurofibromatosis type 1: approaches to therapeutic options. *Am. J. Med. Genet. A.* 149A, 2327–2338 (2009).
- Uusitalo, E. et al. Distinctive Cancer Associations in Patients With Neurofibromatosis Type 1. *J. Clin. Oncol. Off. J. Am. Soc. Clin. Oncol.* 34, 1978–1986 (2016).
- Lehtonen, A., Howie, E., Trump, D. & Huson, S. M. Behaviour in children with neurofibromatosis type 1: cognition, executive function, attention, emotion, and social competence. *Dev. Med. Child Neurol.* 55, 111– 125 (2013).
- DeBella, K., Szudek, J. & Friedman, J. M. Use of the national institutes of health criteria for diagnosis of neurofibromatosis 1 in children. *Pediatrics* 105, 608–614 (2000).
- Neurofibromatosis. Conference statement. National Institutes of Health Consensus Development Conference. *Arch. Neurol.* 45, 575–578 (1988).
- Friedman, J. M. Neurofibromatosis 1. in *GeneReviews®* (eds. Adam, M. P. et al.) (University of Washington, Seattle, 1993).
- Messiaen, L. et al. Clinical and mutational spectrum of neurofibromatosis type 1-like syndrome. *JAMA* 302, 2111–2118 (2009).
- Spencer, E. et al. Identification of SPRED1 deletions using RT-PCR, multiplex ligation-dependent probe amplification and quantitative PCR. *Am. J. Med. Genet. A.* 155A, 1352–1359 (2011).
- Brems, H. et al. Germline loss-of-function mutations in SPRED1 cause a neurofibromatosis 1-like phenotype. *Nat. Genet.* 39, 1120–1126 (2007).
- Denayer, E. et al. Legius syndrome in fourteen families. *Hum. Mutat.* 32, E1985-1998 (2011).
- Brems, H. et al. Review and update of SPRED1 mutations causing Legius syndrome. *Hum. Mutat.* 33, 1538– 1546 (2012).
- Evans, D. G., Birch, J. M. & Ramsden, R. T. Paediatric presentation of type 2 neurofibromatosis. *Arch. Dis. Child.* 81, 496–499 (1999).
- Asthagiri, A. R. et al. Neurofibromatosis type 2. *Lancet Lond. Engl.* 373, 1974–1986 (2009).
- Smith, M. J. et al. Cranial meningiomas in 411 neurofibromatosis type 2 (NF2) patients with proven gene mutations: clear positional effect of mutations, but absence of female severity effect on age at onset. *J. Med. Genet.* 48, 261–265 (2011).
- Slattery, W. H. Neurofibromatosis type 2. *Otolaryngol. Clin. North Am.* 48, 443–460 (2015).
- Ardern-Holmes, S., Fisher, G. & North, K. Neurofibromatosis Type 2. *J. Child Neurol.* 32, 9–22 (2017).
- Evans, D. G. R. Neurofibromatosis type 2. *Handb. Clin. Neurol.* 132, 87–96 (2015).
- Evans, D. G. R. Neurofibromatosis 2 [Bilateral acoustic neurofibromatosis, central neurofibromatosis, NF2, neurofibromatosis type II]. *Genet. Med. Off. J. Am. Coll. Med. Genet.* 11, 599–610 (2009).
- Evans, D. G. R. et al. Cancer and Central Nervous System Tumor Surveillance in Pediatric Neurofibromatosis 2 and Related Disorders. *Clin. Cancer Res. Off. J. Am. Assoc. Cancer Res.* 23, e54–e61 (2017).
- Merker, V. L., Esparza, S., Smith, M. J., Stemmer-Rachamimov, A. & Plotkin, S. R. Clinical features of schwannomatosis: a retrospective analysis of 87 patients. *The Oncologist* 17, 1317–1322 (2012).
- van den Munckhof, P., Christiaans, I., Kenter, S. B., Baas, F. & Hulsebos, T. J. M. Germline SMARCB1 mutation predisposes to multiple meningiomas and schwannomas with preferential location of cranial meningiomas at the falx cerebri. *Neurogenetics* 13, 1–7 (2012).

23. Evans, D. G. R., Huson, S. M. & Birch, J. M. Malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumours in inherited disease. *Clin. Sarcoma Res.* 2, 17 (2012).
24. Carter, J. M. et al. Epithelioid malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumor arising in a schwannoma, in a patient with 'neuroblastoma-like' schwannomatosis and a novel germline SMARCB1 mutation. *Am. J. Surg. Pathol.* 36, 154–160 (2012).
25. Kehrer-Sawatzki, H., Farschtschi, S., Mautner, V.-F. & Cooper, D. N. The molecular pathogenesis of schwannomatosis, a paradigm for the co-involvement of multiple tumour suppressor genes in tumorigenesis. *Hum. Genet.* 136, 129–148 (2017).
26. Ullrich, N. J. Neurocutaneous Syndromes and Brain Tumors. *J. Child Neurol.* 31, 1399–1411 (2016).
27. MacCollin, M. et al. Diagnostic criteria for schwannomatosis. *Neurology* 64, 1838–1845 (2005).
28. Li, P. et al. Clinical features of spinal schwannomas in 65 patients with schwannomatosis compared with 831 with solitary schwannomas and 102 with neurofibromatosis Type 2: a retrospective study at a single institution. *J. Neurosurg. Spine* 24, 145–154 (2016).
29. Evans, D. G. et al. Birth incidence and prevalence of tumor-prone syndromes: estimates from a UK family genetic register service. *Am. J. Med. Genet. A.* 152A, 327–332 (2010).
30. Evans, D. G. et al. A genetic study of type 2 neurofibromatosis in the United Kingdom. I. Prevalence, mutation rate, fitness, and confirmation of maternal transmission effect on severity. *J. Med. Genet.* 29, 841–846 (1992).
31. Evans, D. G. R. et al. Mosaicism in neurofibromatosis type 2: an update of risk based on uni/bilaterality of vestibular schwannoma at presentation and sensitive mutation analysis including multiple ligation-dependent probe amplification. *J. Med. Genet.* 44, 424–428 (2007).
32. Plotkin, S. R. et al. Update from the 2011 International Schwannomatosis Workshop: From genetics to diagnostic criteria. *Am. J. Med. Genet. A.* 161A, 405–416 (2013).
33. Smith, M. J. et al. Frequency of SMARCB1 mutations in familial and sporadic schwannomatosis. *Neurogenetics* 13, 141–145 (2012).
34. Kehrer-Sawatzki, H., Farschtschi, S., Mautner, V.-F. & Cooper, D. N. The molecular pathogenesis of schwannomatosis, a paradigm for the co-involvement of multiple tumour suppressor genes in tumorigenesis. *Hum. Genet.* 136, 129–148 (2017).
35. Pagnamenta, A. T. et al. Delineation of dominant and recessive forms of LZTR1-associated Noonan syndrome. *Clin. Genet.* 95, 693–703 (2019).
36. Zhang, J. et al. Molecular Characterization of NF1 and Neurofibromatosis Type 1 Genotype-Phenotype Correlations in a Chinese Population. *Sci. Rep.* 5, 11291 (2015).
37. Pasmant, E. et al. Neurofibromatosis type 2 French cohort analysis using a comprehensive NF2 molecular diagnostic strategy. *Neurochirurgie.* (2015). doi:10.1016/j.neuchi.2015.01.004
38. Evans, D. G. et al. Comprehensive RNA Analysis of the NF1 Gene in Classically Affected NF1 Affected Individuals Meeting NIH Criteria has High Sensitivity and Mutation Negative Testing is Reassuring in Isolated Cases With Pigmentary Features Only. *EBioMedicine* 7, 212–220 (2016).
39. Smith, M. J. et al. The Contribution of Whole Gene Deletions and Large Rearrangements to the Mutation Spectrum in Inherited Tumor Predisposing Syndromes. *Hum. Mutat.* 37, 250–256 (2016).
40. Kluwe, L. et al. Screening for large mutations of the NF2 gene. *Genes. Chromosomes Cancer* 42, 384–391 (2005).
41. Ahronowitz, I. et al. Mutational spectrum of the NF2 gene: a meta-analysis of 12 years of research and diagnostic laboratory findings. *Hum. Mutat.* 28, 1–12 (2007).
42. Muram-Zborovski, T. M. et al. SPRED 1 mutations in a neurofibromatosis clinic. *J. Child Neurol.* 25, 1203–1209 (2010).
43. Spurlock, G. et al. SPRED1 mutations (Legius syndrome): another clinically useful genotype for dissecting the neurofibromatosis type 1 phenotype. *J. Med. Genet.* 46, 431–437 (2009).
44. Hulsebos, T. J. M. et al. Type 1 papillary renal cell carcinoma in a patient with schwannomatosis: Mosaic versus loss of SMARCB1 expression in respectively schwannoma and renal tumor cells. *Genes. Chromosomes Cancer* 55, 350–354 (2016).